

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/646,280	08/22/2003	Jeffrey J. Bogatay JR.	CTTE 2 13500	9339		
27885	7590 07/21/2006	EXAMINER				
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP			BROWN,	BROWN, DREW J		
1100 SUPER	LIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH	<u></u>		_		
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3616			
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/646,280	BOGATAY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Drew J. Brown	3616		

	Drew J. Brown	3616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da	te of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin r (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 706.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(INOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amount e shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprince in the final Office of the control of	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection They raise new issues that would require further c They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be 	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in b appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanate REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered to <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	out does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. ☐ Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	Vo(s).	
		MAN	
		DAVID	R DI INN

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application No. 10/646,280

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive. The examiner maintains that the rejection is proper and that it would have been obvious to take the front rail of Schlaphoff and put it in front of the engine of Weigel et al. in order to strengthen the forward end of the frame.